Learning with Certificate Functions for Automotive Systems

Chuchu Fan chuchu@mit.edu REALM Lab: REliable Autonomous systems Lab at MIT

MIT Mobility Forum Oct 20, 2023





Control certificates functions

Certificate functions from control theory prove desired system properties.

Lyapunov Function

Certifies stability of a fixed point



Barrier Function

Certifies invariance of a region



Contraction Metric

Certifies ability to track arbitrary trajectories



Neural control certificates can help with

Single-agent certified learning-based control from high-dimensional inputs (robust, online, adaptive)

Generalization in a large fleet of agents [Qin ICLR'21, Qin RAL'22, Zhang CoRL'23]

[So RSS'23]

Provide insights on other uncontrolled [Meng IROS'21] road participants reacting to the autonomous cars

Combined with RL for certificatecarrying RL [Dawson RAL'22, Dawson CoRL'22, Dawson TR-O'23, Garg CSS-L'23, Tong ICRA'23]



To solve LiDAR-based navigation, from a control certificate point of view, a robust Control Lyapunov Barrier function (rCLBF) can serve the purpose of certifying safe reach-avoid problems



Theorem: If we can find such a V for a control policy u, then the closed-loop system is robustly safe and stable in terms of goal-reaching.

There are many approaches to find such a V, such as SoS, Simulation-guided synthesis. But the computational complexity has been a bottleneck so far.



To solve LiDAR-based navigation, from a control certificate point of view, a robust Control Lyapunov Barrier function (rCLBF) can serve the purpose of certifying safe reach-avoid problems

 $V(x_{\text{goal}}) = 0$ $V(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus x_{\text{goal}}$ $V(x) \le c, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{safe}}$ $V(x) > c, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{unsafe}}$ $\inf_{u} L_{f_{\theta}} V + L_{g_{\theta}} Vu + \lambda V(x) \le 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus x_{\text{goal}}$

[Dawson 21] u and V can be represented as NNs, with loss \mathcal{L} being

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{V,u} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left(V^2(x_{\text{goal}}) + a_1 \frac{1}{N_{safe}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{safe}}} \max(V(x) - c, 0) + a_2 \frac{1}{N_{unsafe}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{safe}}} \max(V(x) - c, 0) \\ &+ a_3 \frac{1}{N_{train}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} r(x) \sum_i \max(L_{f_{\theta_i}} V + L_{g_{\theta_i}} V u + \lambda V(x), 0) \right) \end{split}$$



To solve LiDAR-based navigation, from a control certificate point of view, a robust Control Lyapunov Barrier function (rCLBF) can serve the purpose of certifying safe reach-avoid problems



Controller u(x) NN

[Dawson 21] u and V can be represented as NNs, with loss \mathcal{L} being

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{V,u} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left(V^2 (x_{\text{goal}}) + a_1 \frac{1}{N_{safe}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{safe}}} \max(V(x) - c, 0) + a_2 \frac{1}{N_{unsafe}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{safe}}} \max(V(x) - c, 0) + a_3 \frac{1}{N_{train}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} r(x) \sum_i \max(L_{f_{\theta_i}} V + L_{g_{\theta_i}} V u + \lambda V(x), 0) \right) \end{split}$$



Neural certificate control can achieve 100 Hz (real-time) control, versus 4 Hz for robust MPC.



Our approach (100 Hz) Robust MPC (10 Hz, less than real-time) Robust MPC (4 Hz, real-time)

Neural certificate for multiagent Systems



To handle multi-agent systems and generalize well to different settings, we need to fully decentralize the controller and neural certificates.

Songyuan Zhang, Kunal Garg, and Chuchu Fan, "Distributed Safe Multi-agent Control Using Neural Graph Control Barrier Functions." *To appear at the Conference on Robot Learning 2023.*

Graph control barrier functions (GCBF)

A multi-agent system is naturally a graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$

- $V = V_a \cup V_o$, where V_a are agents and V_0 are the hitting points of LiDAR rays in the observation
- The edges *E* are defined between each of the observed points and the observing agent when the distance between them is within the sensing radius *R*.

A GCBF for the graph is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_i, v_i) &> 0 \text{ for } x_i \in S_{i, \text{ safe}} \\ h(e_i, v_i) &< 0 \text{ for } x_i \notin S_{i, \text{ safe}} \\ \sup_{u_i} \left[\dot{h}(e_i, v_i) + \alpha \left(h(e_i, v_i) \right) \right] &\geq 0 \text{ for } x_i \in S_{i, \text{ safe}} \\ \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: Existence of a GCBF guarantees the safety of the multi-agent system from noncolliding initial conditions.

GCBF learned as Graph Neural Networks (GNN)



The GCBF is trained without explicit models of the obstacles, but some randomly sampled point in the point clouds.

Graph control barrier functions (GCBF)

Trained with 16 Dubin cars, the resulting controller can be deployed on an arbitrary number of agents with the same dynamics.



Graph control barrier functions (GCBF)

Trained with 16 Dubin cars, the resulting controller can be deployed on an arbitrary number of agents with the same dynamics, even with increased density.

GNN-based neural CBF-based control



Graph control barrier functions (GCBF)

With LiDAR inputs, the decentralized controller can handle unseen (uncontrolled) obstacles of varying sizes and speed.



GNN-based neural CBF-based control





Neural certificates can help build reactive other road participants

Human intents (e.g., collision avoidance, traffic rules) can be learned as neural certificates from real-world traffic and be enforced in the learned human behavior models [Meng 21].



Inserting certificates in learned behavior models It turns out the human behavior model learned in this way can generalize to unseen scenarios more realistically. [Meng 21]



(a) Fixed trajectories (b) Imitation Learning (c) OursA (never seen before) vehicle attempts to drive through a crowd with different pedestrian models.

21



PS-GAIL [Bhattacharyya 18]



Ours

Ours





PS-GAIL

Inserting certificates in learned behavior models



We can also insert traffic rules such as safety, stop signs, and traffic lights as neural certificates to each learned model.

Deployed on a more complex traffic scene, our agents always follow the traffic rules.

References

S. Zhang, K. Garg, and C. Fan, "Distributed Safe Multiagent Control Using Neural Graph Control Barrier Functions." *Conference on Robot Learning*, 2023.

C. Dawson, Z. Qin, S. Gao, and C. Fan, "Safe nonlinear control using robust neural Lyapunov-barrier functions." Conference on Robot Learning, 2021.

Y. Meng, Z. Qin, and C. Fan, "Reactive and Safe Road User Simulations Using Neural Barrier Certificates." International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2021.

C. Dawson, S. Gao, and C. Fan, "Safe Control with Learned Certificates: A Survey of Neural Lyapunov, Barrier, and Contraction Methods for Robotics and Control." *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 2023.



Realm Group



Neural certificates for automotive systems

Neural certificates provide a quantifiable way to certify learning-based control from sensor inputs.

Controllers from neural certificates can be designed to be robust, online, and adaptive.

Neural certificates can provide fully decentralized, scalable, and generalizable collision-avoidance control for vehicles.

Neural certificates can capture some human intents when building models for other road participants.

And many more applications using neural certificates!